







PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

	ATENT COOPERATION TRI	ec'd PCT/PTO 20 A		
anslation internati	PCT			
ans internati	ONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMIN	NATION REPORT		
	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2001.0071PWO		See Notification of Transmittal of Internation CTION Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/4)		
International application No. PCT/DE2003/003571	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 October 2003 (27.10.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 October 2002 (25.10.20		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n . A61C 1/08	<u></u>	20 000000 2002 (0000000		
. Auto 1/06				
Applicant	SIRONA DENTAL SYSTEMS GMI			
This report is also accompan amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the These annexes consist of a to 3. This report contains indications related to the Basis of the report These annexes consist of the report These annexes consist of a to the section of the section of the report These annexes consist of the report These annexes consist of a to the section of the		tion, claims and/or drawings which have cations made before this Authority (see		
VI Certain documents	at under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, nations supporting such statement	inventive step or industrial applicability		
VIII Certain observation	ns on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	n of this report		
19 May 2004 (19.05.	.2004) 29 :	December 2004 (29.12.2004)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized office	r		





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/003571

of the report		
regard to the elements of the in	ternational application:*	
the international application as	s originally filed	
the description:		
pages	1-12	, as originally filed
		, filed with the demand
	filed with the letter of	
the claims:		
		, as originally filed
pages	, as amended (togethe	
Danes		, filed with the demand
	1-7 , filed with the letter of	18 October 2004 (18.10.2004)
=	1 7	as originally filed
		, filed with the demand
•	-	
pages		, as originally filed
		, filed with the demand
pages	, filed with the letter of	
the language of a translation the language of publication of the language of publication of the language of the translation of 55.3). The regard to any nucleotide iminary examination was carried contained in the international filed together with the international furnished subsequently to the furnished subsequently to the furnished subsequently to the The statement that the subsequently international application as a The statement that the informational application as a statement that the information and the statement that the information are statement that the informa	furnished to this Authority in the following language furnished for the purposes of international search (under R of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). In furnished for the purposes of international preliminar and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international out on the basis of the sequence listing: I application in written form. It is Authority in written form. It is Authority in computer readable form. It is a provinced in computer readable form is identiced to the	ry examination (under Rule 55.2 and/ national application, the international ot go beyond the disclosure in the
the description, paged the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/ This report has been establisheyond the disclosure as filed that the claims which have been this report as "originally filed to 170.17).	fig shed as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, d, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** ten furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invide and are not annexed to this report since they do	vitation under Article 14 are referred to not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
	regard to the elements of the international application as the description: pages pa	regard to the elements of the international application:* the international application as originally filed the description: pages

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-3, 5	YES
	Claims	4, 6-7	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-3	YES
	Claims	4-7	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-7	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

- 1.1 EP-A-0 868 886 discloses (see column 8, line 41 to column 9, line 24) a method for correctly positioning a hand-held instrument at a preparation site, comprising the following steps:
 - computing position-dependent surface features from a 3-dimensional data record relating to the surface of the preparation site to determine a desired position of an implant that is to be inserted in <u>a</u> cavity, the area in which the cavity is to be created being represented as a 3-dimensional data record containing volume data;
 - recording at least one portion of the preparation site containing an actually visible surface feature using a camera mounted on the hand-held instrument at a specified distance from a machining tool, and displaying this as a video image;
 - superimposing a computed surface feature for the target position of the hand-held instrument, so that by changing the position and inclination of the handheld instrument the position of the superimposed surface feature relative to the actually visible surface feature can be changed.

- 1.2 The subject matter of claim 1 differs from the known method in that a horizon line is used as the surface feature.
- 1.3 The problem addressed by the present invention can thus be seen as that of developing a simpler and less costly method for positioning the hand-held instrument. The object recorded and analysed is a horizon line, and the hand-held instrument can be positioned using this line, thereby avoiding the need for a complex method involving an overlay.
- 1.4 The subject matter of independent method claim 1 can therefore be considered novel, inventive and industrially applicable (PCT Article 33(1) to (4)).
- 2. The additional features specified in device claim 4

 ("... transmits the camera-recorded image to a display, and surface features in the form of a horizon line are computed in the analysis unit and are shown on the display...") relate to a method for using the device rather than to the definition of the device in terms of its technical features (PCT Guidelines, C-III, paragraph 4.8). As a result, the intended limitations are not clear from claim 4 (PCT Article 6).
- 3. The subject matter of claim 4 is therefore limited to a combination involving the features claimed in the preamble of claim 4. EP-A-0 868 886 discloses a combination of a hand-held instrument (14), a display (12) and an analysis unit (24) for creating or machining cavities. The hand-held instrument comprises a machining tool (column 5, lines 23 to 24) and a camera (17); the hand-held instrument transmits the camera-recorded image to a display (column 5, lines 27)

INTERNATIONAL PRED. SNARY EXAMINATION REPORT



to 31), and the distance from the camera to the tip of the machining tool is known (figure 1); surface features in the form of a horizon line are computed in the analysis unit and are shown on the display.

The application fails to meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of device claim 4 is not novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- 4. Dependent claims 2 and 3 define special technical features of the invention according to claim 1. Claims 2 and 3 in combination with claim 1 therefore meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) to (4).
- 5. Dependent device claims 5 to 7 do not contain any features that meet the PCT requirements in respect of novelty and inventive step when combined with the features of any of the back-referenced claims.
- 5.1 The additional features specified in claims 6 and 7 are also known from EP-A-0 868 886 (figure 1; column 5, lines 36 to 37; claim 18). The subject matter of these claims therefore lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 5.2 The additional feature specified in claim 5 is just one of a number of obvious possibilities (see, for example, US-A-6 419 484, column 4, lines 40 to 49) from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances without making an inventive contribution in order to solve the problem addressed (PCT Article 33(3)).